







-Off raining Kig! eth) S1400/Lung Master Protocol

Friday, May 2, 2014





Welcome	David Gandara, MD			
Background	Roy Herbst, MD, PhD			
Study Overview	assiliki Papadimitrakopoulou, MD			
Specimen Requirements	Fred Hirsch, MD, PhD			
Statistical Overview	Mary Redman, PhD			
Registration and Study Flow	Austin Hamm, BA, CCRP			
Imaging	Lawrence Schwartz, MD Michael Knopp, MD, PhD			
Informed Consent, Quality Assu and Monitoring	urance Elaine Armstrong, MS			
Panel Presentation / Questions and Answers				

Welcome

David R. Gandara, MD UC Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center SWOG Lung Cancer Committee Chair

Addressing unmet needs in Drug-Biomarker Co-Development



Strategies for Integrating Biomarkers into Clinical Trial Designs for NSCLC When Viewed as a Multitude of Genomic Subsets

Evolution of NSCLC \rightarrow Histologic Subsets \rightarrow Genomic Subsets



Unmet needs addressed by Master Protocol:

- How to develop drugs for uncommon-rare genotypes?
- How to apply broad-based screening (NGS)?
- How to achieve acceptable turn-around times for molecular testing for therapy initiation? (<2 weeks)
- How to expedite the new drugbiomarker FDA approval process? (companion diagnostic)

S1400 Master Protocol Unique Private-Public Partnerships with the NCTN



Background

Roy Herbst, MD, PhD Study Chair, Steering Committee/Targeted Agent Selection Committee Co-Chair

Ensign Professor of Medicine Professor of Pharmacology Chief of Medical Oncology Director, Thoracic Oncology Research Program Associate Cancer Center Director for Translational Research







A Comprehensive Cancer Center Designated by the National Cancer Institute

Evolution of Identification of Genomic Alterations in Lung Adenocarcinoma



Umbrella

Test impact of different drugs on different mutations in a <u>single type</u> <u>of cancer</u> •BATTLE •I-SPY2

•SWOG Squamous Lung Master

G-MAP

Basket

Test the effect of <u>a drug(s)</u> on a single mutation(s) in a variety of cancer types •Imatinib Basket •BRAF+ •NCI MATCH



IOM Report on Clinical Trials



Emphasized critical need for a public clinical trials system

4 goals for modernization with 12 recommendations

- Improve speed & efficiency of trial development & activation
- Incorporate innovative science and trial design
- Improve prioritization, support, and completion of trials
- Incentivize participation of patients and physicians

NCI is implementing a comprehensive approach to transforming its clinical trials system to create a highly integrated network that can address rapid advances in cancer biology based on:

- Recommendations from the IOM Report
- Previous reports (Clinical Trials & Operational Efficiency)
- Current stakeholder input

Detailed genomic analysis of SQUAMOUS cell lung cancers has identified several new potential therapeutic targets

Gene	Event Type	Frequency	
FGFR1	Amplification	20-25%	
FGFR2	Mutation	5%	
PIK3CA	Mutation	9%	
PTEN	Mutation/Deletion	18%	
CCND1	Amplification	8%	
CDKN2A	Deletion/Mutation	45%	
PDGFRA	Amplification/Mutation	9%	
EGFR	Amplification	10%	
MCL1	Amplification	10%	
BRAF	Mutation	3%	
DDR2	Mutation	4%	
ERBB2	Amplification	2%	

- In 63% of lung SCCs we can now identify a possible therapeutic target
- Targets need to be validated in pre-clinical models
- FGFR1/2, PIK3CA and DDR2 inhibitor trials are planned or ongoing

Peter Hammerman et al. WCLC 2011

Rationale for Master Protocol Design

- Multi-arm Master Protocol
 - Homogeneous patient populations & consistent eligibility from arm to arm
 - Each arm independent of the others
 - Infrastructure facilitates opening new arms faster
 - Phase II-III design allows rapid drug/biomarker testing for detection of "large effects"
- Screening large numbers of patients for multiple targets by a broadbased NGS platform reduces the screen failure rate
- Provides a sufficient "hit rate" to engage patients & physicians
 - Bring safe & effective drugs to patients faster
- Designed to facilitate FDA approval of new drugs
 LUNG-MAP

Parallel Efforts in Master Protocol Design for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Thoracic Malignancies Steering Committee (TMSC) Task Force

F. Hirsch , Chair

- Early Stage NSCLC (ALCHEMIST)
- Advanced Stage
 NSCLC
 - Squamous
 - Non-Squamous

UNG-MAP

Friends of Cancer Research (FOCR)

Task Force

R. Herbst, Chair

- Advanced Stage NSCLC
 - Squamous
 - Non-Squamous

Drug Selection Committee

Voting Members

Roy Herbst (Chair), Yale Cancer Center	Gary Kelloff, NCI
Kathy Albain, Loyola Medicine	Vali Papadimitrakopoulou, MD Anderson
Jeff Bradley, Washington University in St. Louis	Suresh Ramalingam, Emory Healthcare
Kapil Dhingra, KAPital Consulting	David Rimm, Yale Cancer Center
Gwen Fyfe, Consultant	Mark Socinski, UPMC Cancer Center
David Gandara, UC Davis Cancer Center	Naoko Takebe, NCI
Glenwood Goss, University of Ottawa	Everett Vokes, University of Chicago
Fred Hirsch, University of Colorado Cancer Center	Jack Welch, NCI
Peter Ho, QI Oncology	Ignacio Wistuba, MD Anderson
Pasi Janne, Dana Farber Cancer Institute	Jamie Zwiebel, NCI

Non-Voting Members

Jeff Allen, Friends of Cancer Research	Mary Redman, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center
Matt Hawryluk, Foundation Medicine	Ellen Sigal, Friends of Cancer Research
Shakun Malik, FDA	David Wholley, FNIH
Vince Miller, Foundation Medicine	Roman Yelensky, Foundation Medicine
	(A)

Governance Structure:



Study Overview

Vassiliki Papadimitrakopoulou, MD Study Chair, Medical Oncology



"Phase II/III Biomarker-Driven Master Protocol for Second Line Therapy of Squamous Cell Lung Cancer."

SWOG

PHASE II/III BIOMARKER-DRIVEN MASTER PROTOCOL FOR SECOND LINE THERAPY OF SQUAMOUS CELL LUNG CANCER.

NCT #TBD

STUDY CHAIRS:

Vassiliki A. Papadimitrakopoulou, M.D. (Medical Oncology) M.D. Anderson Cancer Center 1515 Holcombe Blvd., Unit 432 Houston, TX 77030-4009 Phone: 713/792-6363 FAX: 713/792-1220 E-mail: vpapadim@mdanderson.org

Fred R. Hirsch, M.D., Ph.D. (Translational Medicine) University of Colorado Cancer Center P.O. Box 6511, MS 8117 Aurora, CO 80045 Phone: 303/724-3858 E-mail: fred.hirsch@ucdenver.edu

Philip C. Mack, Ph.D. (Translational Medicine) UC Davis Cancer Center Division of Hematology/Oncology 4501 X Street Sacramento, CA 95817 Phone: 916/734-8022 FAX: 916/734-2361 E-mail: pcmack@ucdavis.edu

Roy S. Herbst, M.D., Ph.D. (Steering Committee/Targeted Agent Selection Committee Co-Chair) Yale Cancer Center Section of Medical Oncology 333 Cedar Street, WWW221 New Haven, CT 06520-8028 Phone: 203/785-6879 FAX: 203/785-4116 E-mail: roy.herbst@yale.edu

Lawrence H. Schwartz, M.D. (Imaging) Columbia University Medical College 180 Fort Washington Avenue, HP-3-320 New York, NY 10032 Phone: 212/305-8994 FAX: 212/305-4835 E-mail: Ischwartz@columbia.edu

STUDY AGENTS:

AZD4547 (NSC 765338) Docetaxel (Taxotere[®])(RP56976) (NSC-6285 Erlotinib (OSI-774, Tarceva[®]) (NSC-718781) GDC-0032 (NSC 778795) MEDI4736 (NSC 778709) Palbociclib (PD-0332991) (NSC 772256) Rilotumumab (AMG102) (NSC 750009)

Protocol IND#119672

IDE #G120222

David R. Gandara, M.D. (Lung Committee Chair) UC Davis Cancer Center 4501 X Street, Suite 3017 Sacramento, CA 95817 Phone: 916/734-3772 FAX: 916/734-7946 E-mail: david.gandara@ucdmc.ucdavis.edu

SUPPORTING COOPERATIVE GROUPS:

ALLIANCE

Everett Vokes, M.D. University of Chicago Medical Center

ECOG/ACRIN Suresh Ramalingam, M.D. Emory University

NCIC-CTG Glenwood Goss, M.D. University of Ottawa

NRG Jeff Bradley, M.D. Washington University School of Medicine

BIOSTATISTICIANS:

Mary Redman, Ph.D. James Moon, M.S. Shannon McDonough, M.S. SWOG Statistical Center 1100 Fairview Ave N, M3-C102 P.O. Box 19024 Seattle, WA 98109-1024 Phone: 206/667-4623 FAX: 206/667-4408 E-mail: mredman@fhcrc.org E-mail: jmoon@fhcrc.org E-mail: smcdonou@fhcrc.org



Background

- Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) characterized by multiple and often independent mutations and potential therapeutic targets/ screening is rapidly becoming a part of treatment.
- Lung SCCA remains an "orphan" group/ substantial developments in therapeutics have yet to be seen. Subgroup selection (genotype or phenotype-driven) refined strategy.
- Multi-arm Master Protocol improved operational efficiency: homogeneous patient populations & consistency in eligibility from arm to arm

Phase II-III design: rapid drug/biomarker testing for detection of "large effects"

Background (cont.)

- Grouping multiple studies: reduces overall screen failure rate, multi-target screening by broad-based platform: sufficient "hit rate" allowing uninterrupted accrual.
- Potential for bringing safe and effective drugs to patients faster, ineffective drugs are replaced by new improved candidates.
- Designed to allow FDA approval of new therapeutics.



Significantly Mutated Genes in Lung SCCA.



PS Hammerman et al. Nature 000, 1-7 (2012) doi:10.1038/nature11404

nature

LUNG-MAP

Lung-MAP: Major Goals and Hypothesis

- To establish an NCTN mechanism for genomic screening of large but homogeneous cancer populations, assigning and accruing simultaneously to a multi-sub-study "Master Protocol" comparing new targeted therapy to SoC based on designated therapeutic biomarker-drug combinations.
- We hypothesize that Lung-MAP will yield definable and measurable efficiencies in terms of improving genomic screening of cancer patients for clinical trial entry, and improved time lines for drug-biomarker testing allowing for inclusion of the maximum numbers of otherwise eligible patients in comparison with currently employed "single screen-single trial" approaches.

UNG-MAP

Lung-MAP: Major Goals and Hypothesis

 Ultimate goal is to identify and quickly lead to approval safe and effective regimens (monotherapy or combinations) based on matched predictive biomarker-targeted drug pairs.



Objectives

• Primary Objectives:

A) Phase II Component: To evaluate if there is sufficient evidence to continue to the Phase III component of each biomarker-driven sub-study by comparing progression-free survival (PFS) between targeted therapy (TT) or targeted therapy combinations (TTC) or non-match therapy (NMT) versus standard therapy (SoC) in patients with advanced stage refractory squamous cell carcinoma (SCCA) of the lung.

B) Phase III Component: 1.To determine if there is both a statistically and clinically-meaningful difference in PFS among advanced stage refractory SCCA of the lung randomized to receive TT/TTC versus SoC and NMT versus SoC.
 2.To compare overall survival (OS) in patients with advanced stage refractory SCCA of the lung the lung randomized to TT/TTC versus SoC and NMT versus SoC.



Objectives

• Secondary Objectives:

A) Phase II and III: 1. compare response rates among patients with measurable disease randomized to receive TT/TTC/NMT versus SoC. 2. Frequency and severity of toxicities with TT/TTC/NMT versus SoC

• Exploratory Objectives:

- A) To identify additional predictive tumor/blood biomarkers that may modify response or define resistance to the TT beyond the chosen biomarker
- B) To identify potential resistance biomarkers at disease progression
- C) To establish a tissue/ blood repository from patients with refractory squamous cell cancer.



Eligibility

- The patient has a diagnosis of pathologically confirmed lung SCCA by tumor biopsy and/or fine-needle aspiration.
- The patient has a diagnosis of either advanced, incurable stage IIIB or stage IV NSCLC, and failed exactly one front-line metastatic chemotherapy regimen.
- The patient has measurable disease (subjects with active new disease growth in previously irradiated site are eligible).
- The patient's performance status is ≤ 2 at study entry.
- The patient has adequate organ function (will be specified in detail in the full protocol).
- If patient has brain metastasis, they must have been stable (treated and asymptomatic) and off steroids for at least 2 weeks.
- *Drug-specific inclusion and exclusion criteria will be applied as appropriate for each sub-study.
- Central genomic screening (and IHC when applicable) testing will be performed through Foundation Medicine in a CLIA setting using their commercially available NGS platforms.

Treatment Schema



Central genomic screening (and IHC) Foundation Medicine NGS test platform (CLIA/CAP).



S1400







Sub-study A

- MEDI4736 anti PD-L1 moAb.
- Prior evidence of activity of anti-PD1 and anti PD-L1 moAbs with a range of RR from 17% to 24% in unselected NSCLC cohorts.
- Promising preliminary clinical activity NSCLC, including SCCA.
- Safety profile favorable.
- Activity within PD-L1+ cohort a secondary objective.



Sub-study B

- GDC—0032 beta isoformsparing PI3K inhibitor more potent against *PIK3CA^{mut}* than wt *in vitro*, interacts with mutant p110a conformation.
- Promising preliminary clinical activity in *PIK3CA* mutant cancers including SCCA.
- Safety profile c/w other PI3K inhibitors.





JNG-MAP

Sub-study C

- PD-0332991 orally active, highly selective inhibitor of cdk4/6.
- *In vitro* activity in Rb+ cell lines and xenografts.
- Best monotherapy activity in unselected population: SD.
- Drug very active in combination with letrozole in ER+, HER2- breast cancer.

Nature Reviews | Cancer



JNG-MAP

Sub-study D

- AZD4547 potent and selective inhibitor of FGFR1, 2 and 3.
- *In vitro* activity in *FGFR* amplified, mut+, gene translocation+ cell lines.
- Best monotherapy activity *FGFR* amplified SCCA: PR.
- Mucosal dryness, eye, phosphate metabolism.



JNG-MAP

Sub-study E

- AMG102 Ab against HGF/SF the only ligand of c-Met receptor
- EGFR and Met may cooperate in driving tumorigenesis.
- Met over expressed in up to 50% of NSCLC
- AMG102 in registration trial+CT in gastric cancer.

Treatment Plan

SU	B-STUDY	Agent	Dose	Route	Schedule	Duration
А	Arm 1	MEDI4736	10 mg/kg	IV 60 min	Q 2 weeks	12 months
	Arm2	Docetaxel	75 mg/m ²	IV	Q21 days	Until PD
В	Arm1	GDC-0032	4 mg	PO	Continuous	Until PD
	Arm2	Docetaxel	75 mg/m ²	IV	Q21 days	Until PD
С	Arm1	Palbociclib	125 mg	PO	Daily (3 wks on/1 wk off)	Until PD
	Arm2	Docetaxel	75 mg/m ²	IV	Q21 days	Until PD
D	Arm1	AZD4547	80 mg BID	PO	Continuous	Until PD
	Arm2	Docetaxel	75 mg/m ²	IV	Q21 days	Until PD
E	Arm1	Rilotumumab +Erlotinib	15 mg/kg 150 mg	IV 60-120min PO	Q 21days Daily	Until PD
	Arm 2	Erlotinib	150 mg	PO	Daily	Until PD

ALUNG-MAP







FRIENDS of CANCER RESEARCH



Specimen Requirements

Fred Hirsch, MD, PhD

Study Chair, Translational Medicine Professor of Medicine and Pathology Univ. of Colorado Cancer Center, Aurora, CO, USA

Tissue Acquisition, Processing and Reporting For the Lung-MAP



Tissue Is the Issue:

At Time of Primary Diagnosis: Tissue Blocks preferable!

- FNAs acceptable if cell blocks are made!
- 20 FFPE slides (4-5 microns each) sent to FMI (12 slides minimum required for eligibility)
- 8 slides (40 microns) will be used for FMI "screening panel"
- 2 slides will be used for C-MET IHC
- 1 H-E slide or Aperio scanned slides should be submitted together with either cell block(s) or unstained slides
- If insufficient tissue: REBIOPSY (30%)
 LUNG-MAP

Tissue

Pathology Review - Local Pathologist to Ensure:

- 1. Squamous Lung Cancer according to WHO, +/- IHC verification: p 40/p63 positive, TTF1 negative.
- 2. At least 20% viable tumor




Reporting

- All specimens are to be submitted through the STS.
- FMI will send full report, including MET IHC, to SWOG Stat Center.
- Sites will be notified of sub-study assignment.
- Specific results provided upon request following disease progression.

LUNG-MAP

Tissue: At PD after Response

- It is strongly intended to obtain <u>biopsy at time of PD</u> for responding pts in order to study acquired resistant mechanisms,
- Procedure: the same as at Primary Diagnosis!



Peripheral Blood

- Samples taken at screening (pretreatment / during first line CT/ at PD); at PD (requested)
- 10 ml blood collected in EDTA tubes
- EDTA tubes processed within an hour after vein puncture (otherwise stored in – 4 degrees)
- EDTA tubes will be spun at 800g for 10 minutes at 4 degrees for separating plasma collection
- Plasma will be transferred to 15 ml centrifuge tube for spin 800g in 10 minutes

ALUNG-MAP

Peripheral Blood (cont.)

- Plasma will be pipetted into 1ml coded cryovials at 0.5ml aliquots.
- The buffy coats will be transferred from blood tube into 2ml cryovials.
- Samples will be placed immediately into -80 degrees freezer for long term storage.
- Samples shipped in batches to SWOG Repository.

ALUNG-MAP

Statistical Overview

Mary Redman, PhD SWOG Lead Biostatistician SWOG Lung Committee



A LIFE OF SCIENCE





*Sub-studies assigned based on biomarker results, patients with multiple biomarkers randomly assigned to sub-study. Investigators/patients will only be notified of sub-study assignment. Exp = Targeted therapy or Targeted Therapy combinations SoC = chemotherapy (docetaxel or gemcitabine) or erlotinib

MLUNG-MAP

Objectives

• Primary Objectives within each independent sub-study:

A) Phase II Component:

 To evaluate if there is sufficient evidence to continue to the Phase III component by comparing progression-free survival (PFS) between patients randomized to experimental therapy versus SoC.

B) Phase III Component:

- 1. To determine if there is both a statistically and clinically-meaningful difference in PFS between the treatment arms.
- 2. To compare overall survival (OS) between treatment arms.

ALUNG-MAP

Study Design Within Each Biomarker-defined Subgroup





Stratification Factors for Treatment Arm Randomization

• S1400A,C,D,E:

Patients will be stratified by:

- 1. Zubrod Performance Status (0-1 vs. 2)
- 2. Gender (Male vs. Female)
- 3. Smoking Status (Current vs. Former/Never)

• S1400B:

Patients will be stratified by:

- 1. Zubrod Perfomance Status (0-1 vs. 2)
- 2. Gender (Male vs. Female)
- 3. Date of diagnosis of metastatic or recurrent squamous cell lung cancer to date of sub-study treatment arm randomization (\leq 6 months vs. > 6 months).
- 4. PIK3CA mutation status per GNE criteria (positive vs. negative as defined in Section 11.1)



Sample Size for the Sub-studies

		Phase 2		Phase 3	
Sub-study ID	Prevalence Estimate	Approximate Sample Size	Approximate time of analysis	Sample Size	Approximate time of analysis
S1400A	56.0%	170	8	380	21
S1400B					
GNE+	5.6%	78		288	
FMI+	8.0%	152	19	400	72
S1400C	11.7%	124	11	312	45
S1400D	9.0%	112	11	302	53
S1400E	16.0%	144	9	326	37

ALUNG-MAP

The investigator/CRA's role in achieving study goals

Questions on registration, eligibility, or data submission Contact SWOG Data Operations Center at: <a href="https://www.uu.gov/light-background-commutation-commutatio-commutatico-commuta



Importance of Following Disease Assessment Schedule

• Investigator-Assessed Progression-Free Survival

From date of randomization to date of first documentation of progression assessed by local review or symptomatic deterioration (as defined above), or death due to any cause. Patients last known to be alive without report of progression are censored at date of last contact. For patients with a missing scan (or consecutive missing scans) whose subsequent scan determines progression, the expected date of the first missing scan (as defined by the disease assessment schedule) will be used as the date of progression.

• Progression-Free Survival by Central Review

From date of randomization to date of first documentation of progression assessed by central review or symptomatic deterioration (as defined above), or death due to any cause. Patients last known to be alive without report of progression are censored at date of last contact. For patients with a missing scan (or consecutive missing scans) whose subsequent scan determines progression, the expected date of the first missing scan (as defined by the disease assessment schedule) will be used as the date of progression.



Importance of Timely and Complete Data Submission

Data Submission Schedule

1. Data Submission Requirements

Data must be submitted according to the protocol requirements for **ALL** patients registered, whether or not assigned treatment is administered, including patients deemed to be ineligible. Patients for whom documentation is inadequate to determine eligibility will generally be deemed ineligible.

2. Master Forms

Master forms can be found on the protocol abstract page on the SWOG website (<u>www.swog.org</u>) and (with the exception of the sample consent form and the Registration Worksheet) must be submitted on-line via the Web; see Section 14.0.c1 for details.

3. Data Submission Procedures

NG-MAP

All participating institutions must submit data electronically via the Web using Medidata Rave® at the following url: https://login.imediclata.com/selectlogin

- a. If prompted, select the 'CTEP-IAM IdP' link.
- b. Enter your valid and active CTEP-IAM userid and password. This is the same account used for the CTSU members' web site and OPEN.



- Ultimate goal is to identify and quickly lead to approval of safe and effective regimens (monotherapy or combinations) based on matched predictive biomarker-targeted drug pairs.
- Achieved through:
 - Recruitment and registration of appropriate patients
 - Accurate and complete data
 - Timely submission of data



Registration and Study Flow

Austin Hamm, BA, CCRP SWOG Data Coordinator SWOG Data Operations Center Seattle, WA

Pre-Registration

- Recruit/Screen
- Consent
- Eligibility
 - Section 5
- Tissue Availability
 - Tissue blocks preferred, 12-20 slides may be substituted
 - Local pathologist must confirm at least 20% tumor cells in tissue specimen and must sign *Local Pathology Review* form
 - Section 15



Registration

- Use CTSU OPEN for registration to S1400
 - <u>https://open.ctsu.org/open/logonForm.open</u>
 - See Section 13 for details
- Additional info collected during registration
 - Has the patient progressed on front-line therapy?
 - Provide primary and backup email addresses for substudy assignment notification
- SWOG patient ID will be assigned
 - 6-digits [7xxxx]
 - Study-specific
- ALUNG-MAP

Tissue Submission

Must be submitted within <u>ONE DAY</u> after registration

• Submit to Foundation Medicine, Inc. (FMI)

• Log via SWOG Specimen Tracking System

• Refer to Section 15



Sub-study Assignment

- Will be emailed to site staff
 - Sent to addresses entered during registration
 - Also displayed in Sub-study Assignment form in Rave[®]
- If patient screened after progression on front-line therapy
 - Email sent within 16 days after registration



Sub-study Registration

- Evaluate and confirm sub-study eligibility
 - S1400 main protocol common sub-study eligibility criteria (Section 5.2)
 - Sub-study specific eligibility criteria (Section 5)
- Register in OPEN to assigned sub-study

 Within 28 days from receipt of email
 Patient will be randomized to investigational therapy or standard of care



Sub-study Treatment

- Plan to start therapy within 5 working days of sub-study registration
 - Section 3, "Drug Ordering and Accountability"
 - https://eapps-ctep.nci.nih.gov/OAOP/pages/login.jspx
 - Contact NCI Pharmaceutical Management Branch (PMB)
 - Phone: 240/276-6575
 - Email: PMBAfterHours@mail.nih.gov
 - Section 7 for treatment plan
 - Section 8 for dose modifications



Sub-study Reassignment*

- Meets common sub-study eligibility AND
- Does NOT meet specific sub-study eligibility THEN
- Submit Request for Sub-study Reassignment form

*Due to specific sub-study ineligibility ONLY



Ineligible for all Sub-studies

- Does NOT meet common sub-study eligibility
 OR
- Does NOT meet assigned specific sub-study eligibility

THEN

- Submit Notice of Intention Not to Register form
- Follow and submit forms per S1400 main protocol per Sections 7 and 14



Additional Information

- Submit data electronically via the web using Medidata Rave®
- Study materials
 - SWOG Institutions
 - <u>http://swog.org</u> → Clinical Trials → Protocol Search → Search "S1400"
 - CTSU Institutions
 - <u>https://www.ctsu.org</u> → Protocols → Search "S1400"
- Questions on registration or data submission?
 - Contact SWOG Data Operations Center at <u>lungquestion@crab.org</u>



Imaging in SWOG 1400

Lawrence Schwartz, MD Chair, SWOG Imaging Committee

Michael V. Knopp, M.D., Ph.D. Co-PI IROC

> LSchwartz@columbia.edu Knopp.16@osu.edu

Contact of Imaging Core for the Protocol: E-Mail: SWOG1400@IROCOhio.org

Coordination of Imaging

- The SWOG Imaging Committee will oversee, guide and consult on all Imaging and Imaging data collection related aspects
- The SWOG Imaging Corelab which now also functions as IROCOhio for the overall NCTN will manage all imaging data collection and support all imaging based assessments



Image Acquisition

- All study participants will have a PET/CT, CT or MRI exam prior to study entry. Participants will then undergo additional imaging every 6 weeks until progression of disease
- The same imaging modality used for the pre-treatment exam must be used for the post-treatment exams
- PET/CT, CT and MRI images will be initially interpreted by the local site radiologist. Imaging exams will then be forwarded to the Imaging and RT Quality Assurance Service Core (IROC) for central review
- Results of the central review will be reported only to the DSMC
- A detailed description of the central radiology PFS review, including image acquisition parameters and image submission instructions will be made available to each site

Imaging Data Upload

- IROC is implementing a IMAGE data upload system which is build upon the ACR TRIAD software
- While this transition is being implemented with the start of the NCTN on 3/1/14, this S1400 master will be the first from the SWOG trials
- IROCOhio is taken the lead to develop the implementation for SWOG





IROC

IMAGING AND RADIATION ONCOLOGY CORE

Global Leaders in Clinical Trial Quality Assurance

NCI-NCTN U24 Award

IROC Executive Committee Co-Directors: Followill (RT)/Knopp (I) IROC Admin: King/O'Meara/Laurie

Lead Contact for SWOG and S1400



IROC-TRIAD Image Transmission System

- IROC-TRIAD integration with RAVE and RSS provides important advantages for NCTN trials:
 - TRIAD accesses the CTSU to obtain list of studies and sites the user has access to
 - TRIAD will obtain the patient list from Rave for the study and site selected by the user. The user selects the patient
 - TRIAD will obtain the time point information from Rave
 - The user uploads the radiological image for a selected time point
 - Continued refinement to facilitate efficient workflow for the sites



An Imaging Biorepository







Used to evaluate efficacy of a novel therapy in a clinical trial

Used to determine treatment decisions for an individual patient

Used for correlative analysis to develop predictive tissue biomarkers





Image Feature SubClass Cluster



Informed Consent, Quality Assurance and Monitoring

Elaine Armstrong, MS SWOG Quality Assurance Manager

Consent process: Screening

Screening Consent: After progression

- Allows for submission of tissue specimens and registration for screening
- A new fresh tumor biopsy required if insufficient archival tumor material is available



Consent process: Sub-study Assignment

- Sub-study assignment will not occur until after progression
- Patient must sign targeted treatment consent for the assigned sub-study
- Must register to the assigned sub-study in order to receive the treatment randomization assignment.



Quality Assurance

- Mandatory training of key site personnel prior to first patient registration.
- Additional mandatory centralized training to be provided if major changes to the protocol occur or common problem areas are identified during monitoring and audits.
- Oversight through routine auditing and monitoring using a risk-based approach to monitoring


Quality Assurance

- Full time SWOG monitor dedicated 100% to S1400
- Routine monthly communication between monitor and site staff to assess potential problem areas, provide feedback, identify staff turnover, etc.
- Centralized electronic monitoring
- On site monitoring



Centralized Monitoring (Data Operations)

- Monitoring of data quality through routine review of submitted data
- Verification of critical source documents remotely via the collection and review of pathology, radiology and applicable lab reports
- Analysis of site characteristics and performance metrics to identify trial sites with poor performance or non-compliance through the SWOG IPR and other available reports
 LUNG-MAP

Centralized Monitoring (Operations Office)

- Monitoring timeliness of SAE reporting
- Monitoring timeliness of data submission
- Verification of specimen submission
- Off site review of investigational drug accountability recordkeeping on a biannual basis



On Site Monitoring

- First on site monitoring visit within 3 months of first patient registration
- On site monitoring for all sites twice per year
- Additional monitoring visits to will be scheduled in response to several factors - rate of accrual, previous monitoring visit results, centralized electronic monitoring outcome, change in staff, etc.



On Site Monitoring

- Monitoring may be combined with routine audit
- Monitoring reports maintained in NCI-CTMB database
- Corrective and preventative action plans required
- Timely review of all monitoring reports to identify sites that require additional training, monitoring, disciplinary action, etc.

